Doppler-Streak Attenuation via Oscillatory-plus-Transient Decomposition of IQ Data
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Abstract

In the formation of range-Doppler images, transient interference can result in Doppler-streak artifacts. These Doppler-streaks hinder the utility of the range-Doppler image and may obscure moving targets of interest. This paper describes a method for pre-processing the IQ data to substantially attenuate Doppler streaks in the subsequently formed range-Doppler images. The method consists of decomposing the IQ data for each range bin into the sum of an oscillatory component and a transient component.

1 Introduction

In the formation of range-Doppler profile images, conventional Doppler processing can lead to streaking artifacts, such as those clearly visible in Fig. 1a\textsuperscript{1}. From the range-Doppler profile itself, the underlying reason for these streaks is unclear. There are several potential causes: (1) A moving target with a fast changing velocity — if a target accelerates during the dwell, the energy will be spread with respect to Doppler. (2) Persistent (quasi-stationary) wide-band interference. (3) A transient event (or brief interference) in the IQ data — a strong interference present for only a few pulses will be generate a wide-band Doppler spectrum. From the range-Doppler profile itself, a Doppler-streak could indicate any one of these possibilities. In order to distinguish the cause, it is informative to inspect the IQ data itself.

For the range-Doppler profile illustrated in Fig. 1a, inspection of the IQ data reveals that the Doppler-streaks are due to transient interference in the IQ data. If it can be presumed that a target will be present for all or most of the pulses in the coherent pulse interval, then it can be concluded that the transient in Fig. 1a, which lasts for only a few of the 256 pulses, is not due to a target of interest. This paper describes a pre-processing technique that substantially attenuates Doppler streaking due to transient interference. It is proposed that the IQ data be first separated into two components (an oscillatory component and a transient component), and second, that the range-Doppler profile be generated using only the oscillatory component.

2 Oscillatory-Transient Decomposition

The proposed pre-processing approach utilizes a nonlinear algorithm that decomposes a given signal into the sum of an oscillatory signal and a transient signal [3, 4, 7, 9]. That is, given a one-dimensional signal \( x \), the algorithm determines \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) such that

\[
x = x_1 + x_2,
\]

where \( x_1 \) has an oscillatory behavior and \( x_2 \) has a transient behavior. The oscillatory signal \( x_1 \) need not be narrow band nor need the frequencies of oscillation be known. Further, the transient signal \( x_2 \) need not follow a pre-determined waveform template; it may have some unspecified shape. In addition, \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) may overlap in time and frequency; therefore, conventional methods of time-frequency selective filtering will be of limited use. However, non-linear methods based on the optimization of suitably defined non-quadratic cost functions can be used to achieve this type of separation [7, 9, 10]. The method applies equally well to purely real and general complex data.

It is shown below that the oscillatory-plus-transient decomposition (1) can be used to avoid the Doppler-streaking artifacts visible in the range-Doppler profile, for example in Fig. 1a. In particular, the IQ data can be pre-processed using the decomposition in order to separate the IQ data into oscillatory and transient components. In this way, the transient interference (if any) can be (partially) removed from the IQ data. Conventional Doppler processing (taper+FFT) can then be applied to the oscillatory component of the IQ data. The result of applying this pre-Doppler processing procedure is illustrated in Fig. 1b. Note that in Fig. 1b, numerous Doppler-streaks that are present in Fig. 1a have been successfully removed. Moreover, the procedure does not appear to adversely affect the features and potential targets of interest.

3 Example

To elucidate the method, it is informative to inspect the original IQ data to clarify the cause for the Doppler-streak. Consider the Doppler-streak at range bin 45 in Fig. 1a; the...
IQ data, corresponding to this range, is shown in Fig. 2a. Clearly visible in the IQ data is a transient event around pulse 115. This transient event is the cause of the Doppler-streak in the range-Doppler profile. When the oscillatory-plus-transient decomposition algorithm is applied to this IQ data, the signals illustrated in Figs. 2b and 2c are obtained. The sum of the oscillatory and transient signals is exactly equal to the IQ signal shown in Fig. 2a. It should be noted that this decomposition is achieved by the algorithm with no prior knowledge of where the transient event is located in the signal. The algorithm is not based on any explicit segmentation of the IQ signal; instead the oscillatory and transient components are found jointly.

The effect of the oscillatory-plus-transient decomposition on the IQ data can be further analyzed by inspecting the spectra of the IQ data and of the oscillatory and transient components calculated as the result of the algorithm. To that end, Fig. 3a illustrates the spectrum of the original IQ data (shown in Fig. 2a). The transient causes a broad spectral peak at a normalized Doppler of about $-0.3$. This broad spectral peak constitutes the Doppler streak in Fig. 1a. Figure 3b illustrates the spectrum of the oscillatory component (shown in Fig. 2b); because the transient has been eliminated, the spectrum is free of the broad spectral peak and hence the subsequently formed range-Doppler image is free of the Doppler streak. Figure 3c illustrates the spectrum of the transient component which consists essentially of only the broad peak.

Note in Fig. 3 that the spectra of the two components overlap, yet the algorithm achieves an effective separation nevertheless.

## 4 Algorithm

The oscillatory-plus-transient decomposition algorithm is based on the minimization of a convex (but non-quadratic and non-differentiable) cost function. The algorithm assumes the existence of two (possibly overcomplete) transforms $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2$ with which sparse representations of the two components can be obtained respec-
The constrained optimization problem (2) can be solved using any of several iterative algorithms. Based on the recent ‘split-variable augmented Lagrangian shrinkage algorithm’ (SALSA) [1], a simple iterative algorithm for solving (2) using the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM) is derived in Appendix B. For oscillatory-plus-transient signal decomposition, see also [8, 7, 9] and references therein. For further details regarding ADMM, see [2].

### 4.1 Inapplicability of Least Squares

It is interesting to consider a modification of the cost function (2), where the energy of $a_1$ is used in place of the $\ell_1$ norm:

\[
\argmin_{a_1, a_2} \theta \|a_1\|_2^2 + (1 - \theta) \|a_2\|_2^2 \tag{3a}
\]

such that $x = \Phi_1^* a_1 + \Phi_2^* a_2$. \tag{3b}

Does this optimization problem also yield a separation of the signal $x$ into two distinct components? The optimization problem (3) can be solved in closed form. As discussed in [7], using (3), the solution is given by

\[
\hat{x}_1 = (1 - \theta) x, \quad \hat{x}_2 = \theta x,
\]

which provides absolutely no useful signal separation. Both components are just scaled versions of the mixed signal $x$. Therefore, the $\ell_1$ norm (or other sparsity inducing penalty function) in (2) is essential for this approach to be effective. This is an example of the use of sparsity to solve a problem which does not have an analog in least squares signal processing.

### A Conclusion

The paper describes the suppression of transient interference in IQ data for the purpose of improving range-Doppler images and subsequent processing. The algorithm does not require that the transients be explicitly identified, nor does the algorithm require any explicit segmentation. The method is based on sparse signal representation using two simultaneous short-time Fourier transforms implemented in parallel on the IQ data using short and long windows (frames). The algorithm is able to reduce the Doppler smearing evident in the range-Doppler profile.

### B Derivation of algorithm

Consider the constrained minimization problem:

\[
\argmin_{a_1, a_2} \lambda_1 \|a_1\|_1 + \lambda_2 \|a_2\|_1 \tag{4a}
\]

such that $x = \Phi_1^* a_1 + \Phi_2^* a_2$, \tag{4b}

where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are $\theta$ and $(1 - \theta)$ in (2). We assume

\[
\Phi_i^* \Phi_i = \mathbf{I}, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{5}
\]
The first step is to apply variable splitting:

\[ \arg\min \lambda_1 \|a_1\|_1 + \lambda_2 \|a_2\|_2 \]

such that

\[ x = \Phi^*_1 a_1 + \Phi^*_2 a_2 \]

\[ u_1 = a_1 \]

\[ u_2 = a_2. \]

Now ADMM can be applied to obtain the algorithm:

\[ u_1, u_2 \leftarrow \arg\min \lambda_1 \|u_1\|_1 + \lambda_2 \|u_2\|_2 \]

\[ + \mu_1 \|u_1 - a_1 - d_1\|_2^2 \]

\[ + \mu_2 \|u_2 - a_2 - d_2\|_2^2 \]

\[ a_1, a_2 \leftarrow \arg\min \mu_1 \|u_1 - a_1 - d_1\|_2^2 \]

\[ + \mu_2 \|u_2 - a_2 - d_2\|_2^2 \]

such that

\[ x = \Phi^*_1 a_1 + \Phi^*_2 a_2 \]

\[ \text{go to (7a).} \]

\[ \text{for } i = 1, 2 \text{, for which the solution is given explicitly in terms of the soft-threshold function:} \]

\[ u_i \leftarrow \text{soft}(a_i + d_i, 0.5\lambda_i/\mu_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \]

To find the solution to (7b) in explicit form, note that the solution is given explicitly in terms of the soft-threshold function:

\[ a_i \leftarrow \text{soft}(a_i + d_i, 0.5\lambda_i/\mu_i), \quad i = 1, 2. \]

A slight simplification is obtained by a change of variables. Defining \( v_i = u_i - d_i \), gives the algorithm:

\[ v_i \leftarrow \text{soft}(a_i + d_i, 0.5\lambda_i/\mu_i) - d_i \]

\[ g \leftarrow x - \Phi^*_1 v_1 - \Phi^*_2 v_2 \]

\[ a_i \leftarrow v_i + \frac{1}{\mu_i} (\frac{1}{\mu_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2})^{-1} \Phi_1 g \]

\[ d_i \leftarrow a_i - v_i. \]

A slight rearrangement eliminates redundant computations:

\[ v_i \leftarrow \text{soft}(a_i + d_i, 0.5\lambda_i/\mu_i) - d_i \]

\[ g \leftarrow x - \Phi^*_1 v_1 - \Phi^*_2 v_2 \]

\[ d_i \leftarrow \frac{1}{\mu_i} (\frac{1}{\mu_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_2})^{-1} \Phi_i g \]

\[ a_i \leftarrow d_i + v_i, \]

\[ \text{go to (11a).} \]

where \( i = 1, 2 \), for each line of the algorithm. For initialization: \( d_i \) can be initialized to the all-zero vector, with the size of \( d_i \) being the same as \( a_i \). The vectors \( a_i \) can also be initialized to zero because the algorithm converges regardless. However it makes sense to initialize using \( a_i = 0.5 \Phi_i x \) as this satisfies (4b).
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